



CLINICAL AND  
LABORATORY  
STANDARDS  
INSTITUTE

2nd Edition

# CLSI EP31™

## Verification of Comparability of Patient Results Within One Health Care System

Sample

CLSI EP31 provides guidance on how to verify comparability of quantitative laboratory results for individual patients within a health care system.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

# Verification of Comparability of Patient Results Within One Health Care System

Karl De Vore, BA, SSBB  
Ashley Frost, MHA, MLS(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>  
Ivana Baršić Lapić, MSc  
Christina Bethoney, BS  
Jake D. Bunn, MBA, MLS(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>  
Andrea Caballero Garraida, PhD, MBA  
Douglas Chesher, PhD  
Daniel Figdore, MLS(ASCP)  
Mukesh Gandhi, CMLTO

Steven C. Kazmierczak, PhD, DABCC, FACB  
Mark D. Kellogg, PhD, FADLM  
Fred Leland McClure, MSc, PhD, F-ABFT  
Claire Moyer, MBA, MLS(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>  
Donna Roberts, MS, MLS(ASCP)  
Kenneth A. Sikaris, MD  
Jeannie M. Stubblefield, PhD, NRCC, FADLM  
Ruhan Wei, PhD

## Abstract

CLSI EP31—*Verification of Comparability of Patient Results Within One Health Care System* provides guidance on how to verify comparability of quantitative laboratory results for individual patients across a health care system. For the purpose of CLSI EP31, a health care system is defined as a system of physician offices, clinics, hospitals, and reference laboratories, under an administrative entity, where a patient might present for laboratory testing, and whose results might be reviewed by any health care provider within the system for the purpose of providing medical care.

CLSI. *Verification of Comparability of Patient Results Within One Health Care System*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline EP31 (ISBN 978-1-68440-291-5 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-292-2 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA, 2025.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org).

**If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:**

**P:** +1.610.688.0100 **F:** +1.610.688.0700 **E:** [customerservice@cls.org](mailto:customerservice@cls.org) **W:** [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org)

Copyright ©2025 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, or other product or material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

To read CLSI’s full Copyright Policy, please visit our website at <https://clsi.org/terms-of-use/>.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Verification of Comparability of Patient Results Within One Health Care System*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline EP31. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2025.

Previous Edition:  
August 2012

Sample

CLSI EP31-Ed2

ISBN 978-1-68440-291-5 (Print)

ISBN 978-1-68440-292-2 (Electronic)

ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)

ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 45, Number 13

.....

# Contents

Abstract .....	i
Committee Membership .....	iii
Foreword .....	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction .....	1
1.1 Scope .....	2
1.2 Background .....	3
1.3 Standard Precautions .....	3
1.4 Terminology .....	4
Chapter 2: Path of Workflow .....	7
Chapter 3: Practical Considerations for Designing a Comparability Monitoring Protocol .....	11
3.1 Scope of Comparisons .....	12
3.2 Causes of Noncomparability of Results .....	12
3.3 Risk Assessment for Noncomparable Results .....	13
3.4 Frequency and Complexity of Comparability Assessment Protocols .....	13
3.5 General Approaches to Comparability Testing .....	14
3.6 Triggers for Comparability Testing as a Troubleshooting Tool .....	15
Chapter 4: Samples for Comparability Testing .....	19
4.1 Overview .....	20
4.2 Commutability Issues to Consider .....	20
4.3 Analyte Concentrations for Testing .....	23
4.4 Storage and Transport .....	24
Chapter 5: Acceptance Criteria for Comparability Testing of Patient Results .....	25
Chapter 6: Statistical Evaluation of Comparability Data .....	27
6.1 Hypothesis Testing .....	28
6.2 Statistical Analysis of Comparability Data .....	28
6.3 Comparison of 2 Methods .....	34
Chapter 7: Point-of-Care Testing .....	39
7.1 Sample Selection .....	40
7.2 Specimen Acquisition .....	41
7.3 Interval of Sample Values .....	42
7.4 Multiple Devices of the Same Manufacturer and Model .....	42
7.5 Devices of Different Manufacturer and Model .....	42
7.6 Statistical Considerations for Point-of-Care Comparability Testing .....	42

## Contents (Continued)

<b>Chapter 8: Communicating Test Results That Are Noncomparable to the Clinicians</b>	<b>43</b>
8.1 Essential Information and Potential Formats	44
8.2 Retention in the Electronic Medical Record	45
<b>Chapter 9: Range Test Comparability Protocol</b>	<b>47</b>
9.1 Determine Analytes Needing Comparison Testing	48
9.2 Select the Instruments to Be Compared	48
9.3 Estimate the Imprecision at the Measurand Concentration for Comparison Testing	49
9.4 Determine the Desired Concentration or Activity to Be Used for Comparison Sample Selection	50
9.5 Select the Appropriate Sample Characteristics for Comparison Testing	51
9.6 Select the Appropriate Level of Acceptance Criteria That Can Be Applied to the Comparison Test	51
9.7 Calculate the Critical Difference for the Comparability Test	51
9.8 Determine the Number of Runs and Replicates to Be Run and the Range Rejection Limit	52
9.9 Perform the Comparison	52
9.10 Evaluate the Comparison Results	53
9.11 Investigating Noncomparability	53
9.12 Potential for Harmonization When Methods Are Inherently Noncomparable	53
<b>Chapter 10: Conclusion</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Chapter 11: Supplemental Information</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Appendix A.</b> Statistical Concepts	62
<b>Appendix B.</b> Tables of Runs, Replicates, and Range Rejection Limits	68
<b>Appendix C.</b> Worked Examples	91
<b>Appendix D.</b> Examples of Communicating Noncomparable Results to Clinicians	104
<b>The Quality Management System Approach</b>	<b>106</b>

## Foreword

Patients can present for laboratory testing at multiple locations within a health care system. To ensure a consistent level of medical care, it is necessary to regularly confirm that test results from various measurement systems are comparable. CLSI EP31 provides guidance on how to verify the comparability of quantitative laboratory results for analytes tested on different measurement systems.

CLSI EP31 covers the following topics related to the comparability of medical laboratory tests:

- Causes of noncomparability
- Risk assessment of comparability failure
- Frequency of comparison testing
- Concentrations to be compared
- Commutability of comparability testing materials
- Comparability testing protocol
- Acceptance criteria for interpretation of comparability testing

The comparability testing protocol described in CLSI EP31 is an intuitive, simple approach that balances the need for a statistically valid, clinically relevant methodology with practical limitations on laboratory resources. Other valid procedures for comparability evaluation can be developed by a laboratory, and it is not the intent of CLSI EP31 to exclude their use.

## Overview of Changes

CLSI EP31-Ed2 replaces CLSI EP31-A-IR, published in 2012. Several changes were made in this edition, including:

- Updating terminology and alignment with other changed or new CLSI EP documents
- Providing additional guidance on how to handle noncomparability of methods when detected, with examples in the Appendixes
- Directing readers to CLSI EP46<sup>1</sup> for guidance on determining total allowable error goals or limits for noncommutability
- Providing an additional chapter on the range test, its limitations due to type I error, and its rationale

**NOTE:** The content of CLSI EP31 is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.

### KEY WORDS

**allowable total error**

**bias**

**commutability**

**comparability**

**imprecision**

**range test**

**troubleshooting**

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Sample

# Verification of Comparability of Patient Results Within One Health Care System

---

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Scope

CLSI EP31 provides recommendations on how to verify comparability of quantitative laboratory results between 2 or more instruments for individual patients within a health care system.

Instruments can vary in several ways:

- Methodologies used by different manufacturers
- Instrument models from the same manufacturer
- Individual instruments of the same model or type

For CLSI EP31, a health care system is defined as a system of physician offices, clinics, hospitals, and reference laboratories, under a single administrative entity, where a patient might present for laboratory testing, and whose results might be reviewed by any health care provider within the system for the purpose of providing medical care.

CLSI EP31 provides a protocol to be used for assessing patient laboratory result comparability across a maximum of 10 instruments using a range test but does include modified strategies when the number of instruments exceeds 10. The CLSI EP31 document committee assumes that a more comprehensive evaluation of quantitative measurement system comparability was undertaken when the measurement systems were initially introduced into the laboratory. The intended users of CLSI EP31 are professionals and administrators within a health care system who are responsible for ensuring the consistency and reliability of laboratory results across different facilities within the system. This includes a broad range of health care professionals such as laboratory technicians, medical laboratory scientists, pathologists, and health care administrators who oversee laboratory services.

CLSI EP31 explores potential reasons for failing a comparability evaluation (either because of error or real repeatable biases) and approaches to mitigate noncomparability through reporting of patient results. Also covered are risk assessments of patient harm because of diagnostic error caused by inappropriate comparability testing frequency, incorrect concentrations being compared, lack of commutability of testing materials, and inappropriate acceptance criteria for interpretation of comparability testing.

The approach described can also be used to verify comparability of patients' results in situations such as instrument component changes or maintenance procedures, alerts from QC, external quality assessment (EQA), proficiency testing (PT) events, or other special-cause events. Comparability after reagent or calibrator lot changes are covered similarly, but more directly applied in CLSI EP26.<sup>2</sup>

Although CLSI EP09<sup>3</sup> provides more rigorous approaches for comparing 2 methods, there is no consensus procedure for demonstrating patient laboratory result comparability for patient samples among more than 2 measurement procedures. The participants involved in revising CLSI EP31 show different approaches for determining the frequency, number/quantity, and types of samples used in testing (eg, random, high, and low concentrations, or concentrations spanning the analytical measuring interval). They also use varying criteria for evaluating and accepting the results of comparison testing and demonstrate ways for dealing with known bias between methods. This approach reflects the complexity of ensuring comparability across multiple methods



# Sample



CLINICAL AND  
LABORATORY  
STANDARDS  
INSTITUTE.

PRINT ISBN 978-1-68440-291-5

ELECTRONIC ISBN 978-1-68440-292-2

CLSI EP31-Ed2