

1st Edition

CLSI EP46[™]

Determining Allowable Total Error Gozls and Limits for Quantitative Medical Laboratory Measurement Procedures

CLSI EP46 provides developers and end users with an understanding of concepts related to setting allowable total error (ATE) goals and limits for quantitative measurement procedures. CLSI EP46 provides an overview of concepts related to total error, considerations for applying total error concepts (such as setting acceptance criteria for analytical performance characteristics), and models to inform the determination of ATE goals and limits.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Determining Allowable Total Error Goals and Limits for Quantitative Medical Laboratory Measurement Procedures

Michelle R. Campbell, MS, MLS(ASCP)^{CM}, MB^{CM}, SC^{CM} J. Rex Astles, PhD, FADLM Miranda Brun, PhD, FCACB Janetta Bryksin, PhD, DABCC, FADLM Kornelia Galior, PhD, DABCC Mark Holland, PhD Bill Jennings, MSc Jesper Johansen, PhD

Marina Kondratovich, PhD Paula Ladwig, MS, MLS(ASCP) Leslie Landree, PhD Ruediger Laubender, PhD Sara Love, PhD, DABCC Paulo Pereira, PhD Victoria Petrides, MS John Yundt-Pacheco, MS

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute EP46—*Determining Allowable Total Error Coals and Limits for Quantitative Medical Laboratory Measurement Procedures* provides developers (both commercial manufacturers and laboratories that create laboratory-developed tests) and medical laboratory end users with models to inform the determination of allowable total error (ATE) goals and limits. ATE goals and limits are useful during both the Establishment and Implementation Stages of the Test Life Phases Model (see CLSI EP19¹) to assist in determining acceptance criteria for Validation and Verification Phase performance evaluations.

Approaches for determining ATE goals and limits are described based on the effect of analytical performance on clinical outcomes, the comparison with biological variation of the measurand, and the state of the art based on analytical performance similar to that of a peer group. Considerations to the phases of a measurement procedure (preanalytical, analytical, and postanalytical) in setting ATE goals or limits and sources of error contributing to the estimate of total error for which ATE is to be determined are also described.

CLSI EP46 is a resource for many CLSI method evaluation documents. Before performing a study to estimate an analytical performance characteristic of a quantitative measurement procedure (accuracy, precision, linearity, etc.), acceptance criteria should be set. These acceptance criteria should be linked to the ATE goals or limits for the measurand. CLSI EP46 provides approaches for determining ATE goals or limits and describes their application. CLSI EP46 can be used to set the ATE limits for the study protocol presented in CLSI EP21² for determining the total analytical error for a quantitative measurement procedure.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLS). Determining Allowable Total Error Goals and Limits for Quantitative Medical Laboratory Measurement Procedures. 1st ed. CLSI guideline EP46 (ISBN 978-1-68440-282-3 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-283-0 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA, 2025.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org.

If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:

P: +1.610.688.0100 F: +1.610.688.0700 E: customerservice@clsi.org W: www.clsi.org



Copyright ©2025 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, or other product or material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

To read CLSI's full Copyright Policy, please visit our website at https://clsi.org/terms-of-use/.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. Determining Allowable Total Error Goals and Limits for Quantitative Medical Laboratory Measurement Procedures. 1st ed. CLSI guideline EP46. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2025.



Volume 45, Number 8

С	0)1	า	t	6	5.	r	1	t	S									
••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	

Abstract
Committee Membership
Forewordvi
Chapter 1: Introduction
1.1 Scope
1.2 Standard Precautions
1.3 Terminology
Chapter 2: Path of Workflow
Chapter 3: Determination of Allowable Total Error
3.1 Allowable Total Error and the Test Life Phases Model10
3.2 Intended Use and Setting Allowable Total Error Limits
3.3 Expressing Allowable Total Error Limits
3.4 Defining and Describing Error
3.5 Applications of Total Error Concepts
3.6 Sources of Information to Determine Allowable Total Error
3.7 Considerations in Determination of Allowable Total Erron
Chapter 4: Frameworks for Applying Total Error Concepts
4.1 Historical Approaches
4.2 Total Analytical Error as the Allowable Frequency of Paired Differences Which Exceed a Limit (CLSI EP21 ²
Approach)
4.3 Comparing Total Analytical Error With Measurement Uncertainty
4.4 Understanding the Sigma Metric in the Context of Total Analytical Error and Allowable Total Error
4.5 Error Budgeting
4.6 Error Grid Analysis
Chapter 5: Conclusion
Chapter 6: Supplemental Information
References
Appendix. Equations for Calculation of Percent Total Analytical Error and Sigma Metric
The Quality Management System Approach46

Foreword

The determination of analytical quality goals or limits, referred to as allowable total error (ATE), is an essential step in deciding whether a measurement procedure's total analytical error (TAE) is acceptable. In CLSI EP46, the term "goal" is used to describe an aspirational performance that is desirable for optimal clinical utility. In some cases, a goal may not currently be technically achievable or only achieved by a minority of measurement procedures. A performance "limit" focuses on the minimally acceptable performance for clinical use. Hence, a limit is a specification. Measurement procedures that cannot achieve the limit for the minimally acceptable analytical performance for ATE are not considered suitable for use.

Estimating TAE for comparison with an ATE limit can be accomplished using the approach described in CLSI EP21,² as well as by other approaches. Without the *a priori* establishment of acceptance criteria for ATE, it is not possible to objectively evaluate whether a measurement procedure demonstrates accuracy that meets clinical performance goals for a given intended use and patient population. A variety of sources and methods can inform goals and limits; however, the selection of the approach taken is of critical importance.

Setting ATE goals and limits should include consideration of the preanalytical, analytical, and postanalytical (sometimes referred to as preexamination, examination, and postexamination, respectively) phases of the measurement procedure under evaluation to identify when and where error(s) occur and whether their underlying causes are random or systematic. Experts have made recommendations for approaches to use for selecting criteria for informing ATE goals and limits.³ CLSI EP46 recommends that all available relevant sources of information should be taken into consideration when determining ATE goals and limits. When available, studies on the effect of TAE on clinical outcomes should inform ATE goals and limits. For example, surveys of clinicians who use the measurand results in practice can also be helpful. For many analytes, it is also relevant to assess available information about biological variation of the measurand. For analytes that do not have a homeostatic set point, such as cardiac markers and toxins, this might not be possible. Finally, generally available informing ATE limits. "State of the art," when defined as the highest technically achievable performance, might be most appropriate for use to set aspirational performance goals. For some measurands, it is possible that no measurement procedures are currently able to meet requirements for optimal clinical performance.

Overview of Changes

CLSI EP46 replaces and expands on Chapter 2 (Establishing Total Analytical Error and Allowable Total Error Limits) of CLSI EP21-Ed2 published in 2016. Several changes were made in the writing of this new guideline, including:

- Differentiating the terms "goals" and "limits" as they relate to ATE.
- Discussing the functional components of error and their classification as random or systematic in nature.
- Updating the list of approaches used to determine ATE.
- Comparing TAE with measurement uncertainty and Sigma metric.
- Adding content on error budgeting.
- Describing the use of error grid analysis to determine the acceptability of a candidate measurement procedure.

NOTE: The content of CLSI EP46 is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.

KEY WORDS

allowable total error biological variation clinical outcomes error error budgeting error grids Sigma metric total analytical error

.



.

Chapter 1 Introduction

Determining Allowable Total Error Goals and Limits for Quantitative Medical Laboratory Measurement Procedures

Introduction

1.1 Scope

CLSI EP46 provides guidance for selecting the relevant sources for establishing allowable total error (ATE) goals and/or limits for quantitative medical laboratory measurement procedures. This guidance is suitable for both commercial products as well as laboratory-developed tests (LDTs). It is particularly useful for end-user medical laboratories to set acceptance criteria (ie, limits) for total analytical error (TAE) before performing studies described in CLSI EP21² and can be used to determine acceptability of a measurement procedure for use to inform clinical decisions.

The intended users of CLSI EP46 are developers of measurement procedures (both commercial manufacturers and laboratories that create LDTs), regulatory authorities, and medical laboratory personnel.

Users should learn how to:

- Describe error and classifications of error as preanalytical, analytical, or postanalytical; random or systematic.
- Compare frameworks for applying total error concepts.
- Consider the multiple sources of error contributing to bias and imprecision in a measurement procedure.
- Determine ATE limits using models based on clinical outcome studies, biological variation of the measurand, and/or the state of the art of the measurand, dependent on the availability of data.

CLSI EP46 is not intended to provide recommendations or endorsement for specific sources of ATE limits. It does not provide guidance on estimating and evaluating TAE for quantitative measurement procedures, but instead directs users to CLSI EP21² for information on this topic.

1.2 Standard Precautions

Because it is often impossible to know what isolates or specimens might be infectious, all patient and laboratory specimens are treated as infectious and handled according to "standard precautions." Standard precautions are guidelines that combine the major features of "universal precautions and body substance isolation" practices. Standard precautions cover the transmission of all known infectious agents and thus are more comprehensive than universal precautions, which are intended to apply only to transmission of bloodborne pathogens. Published guidelines are available that discuss the daily operations of diagnostic medicine in humans and animals while encouraging a culture of safety in the laboratory.⁴ For specific precautions for preventing the laboratory transmission of all known infectious agents and materials and for recommendations for the management of exposure to all known infectious diseases, refer to CLSI M29.⁵

1.3 Terminology

CLSI, as a global leader in standardization, is firmly committed to achieving global harmonization whenever possible. Harmonization is a process of recognizing, understanding, and explaining differences while taking steps to achieve worldwide uniformity. CLSI recognizes that medical conventions in the global metrological community have evolved differently in different countries and regions and that legally required use of terms, regional usage, and different consensus timelines are all important considerations in the harmonization process. CLSI recognizes

2 Path of Workflow

Figure 1 shows the process flow chart for setting ATE goals and limits for quantitative medical laboratory measurement procedures.





PRINT ISBN 978-1-68440-282-3 ELECTRONIC ISBN 978-1-68440-283-0 CLSI EP46-Ed1