

The Relationship between *i-Ready Diagnostic* and the 2023 Missouri Assessment Program (MAP)

Curriculum Associates Research Brief | February 2024

Research Overview

i-Ready Diagnostic and the 2023 MAP are highly correlated—with an average spring correlation of .82 for English Language Arts (ELA) and .86 for Mathematics.

About the Students Included in the Study

Curriculum Associates conducted a large-scale study on the relationship between the *i-Ready Diagnostic* and the 2023 MAP for Grades 3–8 in ELA and Mathematics, the primary grades in which *i-Ready* is used in Missouri for which there is a state summative assessment in place. Students came from a total of 19 school districts, all public and none of which were charter agencies (see Table 1). The school districts were selected for participation in the study to represent a wide variety of sizes, urbanicity, and socioeconomic levels (using National School Lunch Program as a proxy). See the appendix for more information on the sample.

District	Schools Participating	Location	Total Enrollment	% National School Lunch Program	% English Language Learners ¹	
1	28	City (21), Rural (7)	10,000–14,999	50%	5%	
2	29	City (29)	10,000–14,999	100%	20%	
3	15	Suburb (12), City (3)	8,000–8,499	20%	<5%	
4	19	City (16), Rural (3)	7,000–7,499	75%	5%	
5	11	Suburb (11)	4,000–4,499	25%	10%	
6	8	City (8)	3,500–3,999	100%	5%	
7	7	Rural (4) <i>,</i> Town (3)	3,000–3,499	55%	10%	
8	6	Suburb (5), Rural (1)	2,500–2,999	35%	<5%	
9	6	Suburb (5), City (1)	2,500–2,999	65%	10%	
10	3	Town (3)	1,000–1,499	100%	5%	
11	3	Rural (3)	1,000–1,499	45%	<5%	
12	2	Suburb (2)	1,000–1,499	50%	<5%	
13	2	Rural (1) <i>,</i> Town (1)	900–999	55%	<5%	
14	2	Rural (2)	900–999	45%	<5%	
15	3	Rural (3)	800–899	65%	<5%	
16	2	Town (2)	700–799	35%	<5%	
17	2	Rural (2)	600–699	25%	<5%	
18	1	Town (1)	300–399	50%	*	
19	19 1 Town (1) 300–399			50%	<5%	
Average of	Participating Distric	rts ²	59%	8%		
Average ac	ross All Districts in t	he State ²	44%	4%		

Table 1. Demographic Information for Missouri Districts in Study

Note: Demographic data are available at the school and district level and may not precisely describe the study sample. District-specific statistics are provided as ranges or rounded to the nearest five percent in order to ensure the anonymity of participating districts.

¹Data on English language learners is only available at the district level. Data from U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, EDFacts file 141, Data Group 678, 2020–2021, extracted May 10, 2023.²Weighted averages.

Data from U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data (CCD), "Local Education Agency (School District) Universe Survey", 2020–2021 v.1a. (obtained from <u>https://nces.ed.gov/ccd/pubagency.asp</u>), represent 2020–2021 data, which was the most recent full dataset available from NCES at the time of the study. An asterisk (*) signifies that NCES has recorded the data as missing, not available, or not reported data items.

© 2024 Curriculum Associates, LLC. All rights reserved. 02/24 0K

Correlation Results

Across all grades and in both subjects, results provide evidence for the strong correlation between *i-Ready Diagnostic* and the MAP (see Figure 1). Specifically, spring correlations for ELA ranged from .79 for Grade 8 to .84 for Grades 3, 4, and 5, and spring correlations for Mathematics ranged from .79 for Grade 8 to .88 for Grades 4, 5, and 6. These correlations, **all surpassing the .70 standard generally considered to be strong in education research**, provide evidence of a substantial relationship between *i-Ready Diagnostic* and the MAP.




Figure 1

Why Correlations Matter

Correlations are one of the most commonly used and widely accepted forms of validity evidence. Correlations demonstrate that when students score high on one assessment, they also tend to score high on the other, and similarly, when students score low on one assessment, they also tend to score low on the other. A high correlation between two assessments provides evidence that the two assessments are measuring related constructs.



Appendix

The sample included more than 39,000 students, with between 4,698 and 6,879 students per grade for ELA for the spring *i-Ready* assessment and between 4,163 and 7,154 students per grade for Mathematics for the spring *i-Ready* assessment (see Table 2). These students took both the *i-Ready Diagnostic* and the MAP during the 2022–2023 school year.

Table 2.	Sample	Sizes for	Correlations
----------	--------	-----------	--------------

		ELA		Mathematics			
	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	
Grade 3	6,693	6,889	6,831	6,958	7,107	7,132	
Grade 4	6,695	6,887	6,879	6,915	7,034	7,154	
Grade 5	6,579	6,735	6,686	6,809	6,914	6,891	
Grade 6	5,570	5,622	5,510	5,782	5,874	5,883	
Grade 7	5,033	5,054	4,984	5,240	5,260	5,287	
Grade 8	4,788	4,830	4,698	4,153	4,226	4,163	

Table 3 shows the percentage of students in each race/ethnicity group from the study samples. In both the ELA and Mathematics samples, we have strong representation from students of different racial/ethnic groups.

Table 3. Race/Ethnicity Information for Sample of Missouri Students in this Study

	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black	Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Two or More Races	White
ELA	.3%	2.9%	20.4%	1.1%	13.4%	6.7%	55.0%
Mathematics	.3%	2.9%	20.4%	1.1%	13.1%	6.6%	55.5%

Note: Two districts containing about 5% of students in the sample did not provide complete race/ethnicity information for their students and therefore are not included in this table.

